



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,541	05/26/2006	Jun-Kuen Chang	CHANG220	3244
1444	7590	07/28/2010	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			SAKELARIS, SALLY A	
624 NINTH STREET, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300			1797	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/580,541	Applicant(s) CHANG ET AL.
	Examiner SALLY A. SAKELARIS	Art Unit 1797

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 March 2010.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 35 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 13-34 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/16/2010 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed 3/2/2010 has been received and considered for examination.
Claims 13-34 remain under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

1. Claims 13-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilding (US Patent 5,635,358) in view of McNeely et al (US Patent 6,296,020) and in further view of Chan et al. (US 6762059).

With regard to claim 13, Wilding et al teach: A blood injecting chamber (Figure 7, 16A-D); plural micro-channels one end of which is connected to the blood injection chamber(Figure 7, 20A-C, etc); plural reagent storage chambers connected to the other end of the micro-channel (Figure 7, 22A-D); plural micro-filters connected with reagent storage chambers respectively

(Fig. 8-10, (24)); and plural reading channels connected to the micro-filters respectively (Fig.7, 40); wherein the micro-filters have plural filter poles (26), and the plural filter poles are arranged so as not to pass an agglutinated blood mixture (Figure 5, (26)).

With regard to claims 14-18, 28 and 29 Wilding teach plural reading parts arranged in parallel (40), the filter chamber (22B or 28) with plural filter poles (26 or filter elements in 28) formed in the filter chamber, the width of the filter pole is longer than its length in a cross sectional view (Fig. 7, 28) and the filter poles are allocated crossly to the direction of the fluid which passes through the microfilter (22B or 28), and a first blood resistance part (22B with 24) is formed between the storage chamber and the micro-filter.

With regard to claims 22-24 and 32-34 an inhaling hole is taught in any of (16A-D) at the end of the reading channel (40). Claims 23, 24 and 34 recite intended uses for the aforementioned device components above and will not be afforded patentable weight.

With regard to claim 25 and 26, a base plate is taught in Col. 3 line 41 wherein: "The chips typically will be used with an appliance which contains a nesting site for holding the chip". a chip plate (14), reading chambers (within 40) located on the reading channel (40) and form a transparent reading window(12).

With regard to claim 27, the chip plate is taught to be made from Teflon (Col. 5 line 54).

Generally, Wilding et al teach in their Table 1 that their invention has "no limits to the number of chip designs or applications available" (col.4) and in Figures 1 and 7 provide only examples of how their chips may be arranged. The plural reading channels for example, can be placed in varied locations on the chip as necessitated by the process at hand. Wilding et al. teach

the placement of these plural reading channels to occur either upstream or downstream of the micro-filters (Fig. 1 and 7).

With regard to claims 13-34, particularly the new recitations in claim 13 and those of claims 19-21 and 30-31, Wilding et al. do not teach a first blood resistant or hydrophobic surface-processed part which is hydrophobic on the bottom of the first resistance channel which is capable of holding the blood in the reagent storage chambers, nor do they teach a second blood resistance part comprising a second hydrophobic surface processed part which is hydrophobic on the bottom of the reading channel and finally Wilding does not teach the particular embodiment applicant has envisioned in a single example in their specification or in figures 1 or 7, instead they teach different elements within different embodiments of their disclosure.

McNeely et al teach the blood resistant or hydrophobic part in their teaching of control of fluid flow through microchannels by use of stopping means in the microchannels (Fig. 2E -J). Fig. 2E illustrates the geometry and position of the stopped fluid if stopping means "a" were that of a hydrophobic restriction. (Col. 7 lines 32-37). Fig 2I illustrates the geometry and position of the stopped fluid if stopping means "a" were that of a hydrophobic patch (Col 7 line 44).

It would have been obvious to insert McNeely's hydrophobic patches to the bottom's of resistance channel and to the reading channels of Wilding et al for the because "well planned use of stopping means acting as passive valves allows the flow of fluids through micro-channels to be regulated so as to allow fluids to be mixed or diluted after being introduced via a single channel" (Abstract).

Furthermore, with regard to claims 13-34, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the various features

taught by Wilding in view of McNeely et al. in the particular combination claimed by the applicant as Wilding teaches all of the features applicant is claiming and furthermore provides the motivation to vary these features as needed in his teaching that there are “no limits to the number of chip designs or applications available”. Also this flexibility in Wilding et al’s system is attractive as it allows for competitive pricing with existing systems and “expands the capabilities for assay and process monitoring to virtually any system, allowing for a broad range of applications”(Col. 4, Table 1).

With regard to the newly amended recitation of claims 13 and 25, Wilding et al. in view of McNeely et al. do not teach at least 2 filter parts located serially with each other, each filter poles arranged not to pass an agglutinated blood mixture, the space interval between the filter poles in the filter part closer to the reagent storage chamber being wider than that between the filter poles in the filter part farther away from the reagent storage chamber.

Chan et al. teach differential passage widths accompanied by varying the size of channel obstacles such as posts from about 5 μ m to about 1 μ m (i.e., from a wider space between filter poles to a more narrow space) in their figure 20 for example (Col. 34-35 lines 1-44). Furthermore, Figures 4a-4m show several embodiments of stretching structures involving funnels, posts, branches, and serial structures; (b) an enlarged example of two-funnel structures with posts in serial; (c) several embodiments of complex post arrangements and branched structures (Col. 13 lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have added the 2 filter parts taught by Chan et al. to the device taught about by Wilding et al. in view of McNeely et al. Chan et al. teach that one would have been motivated to

use the 2 part filter with a successively narrowing space interval between its poles "in order to alleviate problems with polymers clogging small passages in the post field" (Col. 34 lines 57-67).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sally A. Sakelaris whose telephone number is 5712726297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 5712721267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sally A Sakelaris/
Examiner, Art Unit 1797
7/26/2010